

Table 2: Overall and yearly share of receipt in districts funded by IDT (1994/95-1996/97, based on 1997 SUSENAS)

Panel A: Overall share of receipt (1994/95-1996/97)		Mean	SD	
Disbursement (Rp.million)		4,431	4,602	
Receipt (Rp.million)				
Upper bound				
No adjustment		3,261	6,028	
Adjustment 1		3,585	6,575	
Adjustment 2		3,301	6,093	
Adjustment 2, + leak to non-IDT villages		3,389	6,167	
Adjustment 2 with SUSENAS weight		3,434	5,911	
Adjustment 2 with SUSENAS weight, + leak to non-IDT villages		3,533	6,012	
Lower bound				
No adjustment		3,189	5,973	
Adjustment 1		3,502	6,506	
Adjustment 2		3,228	6,036	
Adjustment 2, + leak to non-IDT villages		3,316	6,110	
Adjustment 2 with SUSENAS weight		3,353	5,819	
Adjustment 2 with SUSENAS weight, + leak to non-IDT villages		3,452	5,919	
Overall share of receipt				
Upper bound				
No adjustment		0.69	0.93	
Adjustment 1		0.76	1.04	
Adjustment 2		0.70	0.94	
Adjustment 2, + leak to non-IDT villages		0.76	1.03	
Adjustment 2 with SUSENAS weight		0.78	1.14	
Adjustment 2 with SUSENAS weight, + leak to non-IDT villages		0.86	1.32	
Lower bound				
No adjustment		0.67	0.92	
Adjustment 1		0.75	1.03	
Adjustment 2		0.68	0.94	
Adjustment 2, + leak to non-IDT villages		0.74	1.02	
Adjustment 2 with SUSENAS weight		0.76	1.13	
Adjustment 2 with SUSENAS weight, + leak to non-IDT villages		0.84	1.31	
Number of districts		255		
Panel B: Yearly share of receipt (adjustment 2)		Districts	Mean	SD
Upper bound				
1994/95		242	0.71	1.18
1995/96		249	0.52	0.75
1996/97		255	0.82	1.38
Lower bound				
1994/95		242	0.70	1.18
1995/96		249	0.51	0.76
1996/97		255	0.79	1.35

Sources: 1997 SUSENAS.

Table 3: Targeting performance with and without the consideration of missing funds

Overall share of receipt by the poorest quintile of households	N	Mean	SD
(1) Conventional measure: denominator = sum of receipt reported by all households	237	0.273	0.164
(2) Scenario 1: denominator = disbursement, 20% of missing money went to the Only for districts for which (1) can be defined	255 237	0.246 0.250	0.218 0.225
(3) Scenario 2: denominator = disbursement, no missing money went to the bottom Only for districts for which (1) can be defined	255 237	0.185 0.199	0.264 0.269

Sources: 1997 SUSENAS.

Table 4: Summary statistics for covariates

Variables	Mean	SD
Log of median PCE (in thousand Rp)	10.29	0.28
Average coefficient of variation within village in household PCE	0.38	0.09
Average year of education among adults aged 20-60	4.75	1.17
Share of adults aged 20-60 who listened to radio in a week previous to the survey	0.40	0.19
Number of households	636.57	1236.78
Density (population per hectare)	12.27	45.96
Share of urban communities	0.15	0.28
Average distance from the district capital	61.93	65.14
Share of villages with organized government	0.71	0.30
Average age of village heads	43.86	3.79
Average tenure of village heads	5.50	2.52
Share of villages with female heads	0.02	0.03
Share of villages with heads who attained high school or above	0.36	0.25
Share of villages funded under infrastructure programs	0.07	0.07
Number of districts	255	

Sources: 1993 PODES and 1993 SUSENAS.

Table 5: Correlates of the share of receipt under IDT 1994/95-1996/97

Outcome = log of overall (1994-96) share of funds received as bene	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Cross section		Panel				
	Tobit	Island FE	Island FE		District FE		
			94 bench- mark	Change in coeff		Change in coeff	
			94 & 95	94 & 96	94 & 95	94 & 96	
Log of median PCE (in thousand Rp)	-1.011*** (0.319)	-0.836*** (0.273)	-0.841** (0.342)	0.218 (0.332)	0.102 (0.405)	0.934** (0.448)	1.062* (0.606)
Average coefficient of variation within village in household PCE	0.060 (0.067)	0.037 (0.057)	-0.082 (0.068)	0.126* (0.068)	0.151* (0.090)	0.111 (0.104)	0.051 (0.122)
Average year of education among adults aged 20-60	0.148* (0.089)	0.120 (0.076)	0.205* (0.105)	-0.162* (0.085)	-0.078 (0.133)	-0.107 (0.128)	-0.132 (0.179)
Share of adults aged 20-60 who listened to radio in a week previous	0.931* (0.510)	0.758* (0.436)	0.153 (0.109)	-0.038 (0.091)	-0.183 (0.134)	-0.058 (0.111)	-0.097 (0.142)
Number of households	-0.289** (0.134)	-0.162 (0.115)	0.083 (0.158)	-0.186 (0.122)	-0.486** (0.226)	-0.026 (0.171)	-0.204 (0.299)
Density (population per hectare)	-0.117 (0.076)	-0.152** (0.065)	-0.089 (0.090)	0.183** (0.078)	-0.086 (0.117)	0.065 (0.121)	-0.098 (0.152)
Share of urban communities	-1.065** (0.456)	-0.197 (0.395)	-0.749 (0.500)	0.255 (0.353)	1.021** (0.505)	0.666 (0.513)	1.404** (0.557)
Average distance from the district capital	-0.003*** (0.001)	-0.002*** (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.002)
Share of villages with organized government	0.908*** (0.305)	0.583** (0.262)	-0.172 (0.366)	-0.028 (0.291)	1.254*** (0.421)	-0.332 (0.389)	0.867* (0.514)
Average age of village heads	-0.010 (0.025)	0.005 (0.021)	-0.013 (0.030)	-0.011 (0.023)	0.049 (0.033)	0.021 (0.033)	0.084** (0.042)
Average tenure of village heads	0.043 (0.036)	0.002 (0.031)	0.020 (0.038)	0.009 (0.029)	-0.089* (0.047)	-0.028 (0.046)	-0.125* (0.064)
Share of villages with female heads	3.996* (2.351)	1.051 (2.026)	3.597** (1.545)	-2.302 (1.664)	-4.428* (2.270)	-0.583 (3.337)	-4.475 (3.504)
Share of villages with heads who attained high school or above	-0.969** (0.458)	-0.529 (0.392)	0.061 (0.570)	-0.525 (0.406)	-0.258 (0.582)	-0.036 (0.596)	0.502 (0.769)
Share of villages funded under infrastructure programs	-2.156** (0.988)	-2.273*** (0.845)	-0.742 (1.350)	0.114 (1.328)	-1.317 (1.934)	-0.276 (1.872)	0.105 (2.378)
1 if the dependent variable is zero		-3.475*** (0.407)	-3.525*** (0.180)	0.150 (0.204)	-0.106 (0.248)	-2.507*** (0.349)	-2.813*** (0.290)
Number of districts	255	255		746			746
Number of districts censored at zero	18	18		93			93
Log likelihood	-347.91	-271.04		-894.90			-633.82
F-stat		8.16		42.46			5.84

Sources: 1997 SUSENAS.

Notes: Columns 1 and 2 control for island dummies and year dummies. Columns 3-5 as well as 6-7 additionally control for island-specific year effects.